

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/511,841	06/27/2005	Jeremy S Lee	14859NP	6271	
293 7590 08/09/2007 Ralph A. Dowell of DOWELL & DOWELL P.C. 2111 Eisenhower Ave			EXAMINER		
			BHAT, NARAYAN KAMESHWAR		
Suite 406 Alexandria, VA			PAPER NUMBER		
•			1634		
	•		MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
		•	08/09/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Office Action Commence	10/511,841	LEE ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Narayan K. Bhat	1634					
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet wit	h the correspondence addr	ess				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNIC 16(a). In no event, however, may a re 1111 apply and will expire SIX (6) MONT 121 cause the application to become ABA	ATION. ply be timely filed (HS from the mailing date of this committed to the committed to					
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on							
	action is non-final.						
3)☐ Since this application is in condition for allowar		rs, prosecution as to the m	nerits is				
,	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	,					
Disposition of Claims		•					
4) Claim(s) <u>1-14</u> is/are pending in the application.							
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray	vn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.							
	7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) <u>1-14</u> are subject to restriction and/or e	election requirement.						
Application Papers	· .						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	· f,	•	•				
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce		y the Examiner.	•				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	•						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcti			1.121(d).				
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	,						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:	priority under 35 U.S.C. §	1 19(a)-(d) or (i).					
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior	ity documents have been i	eceived in this National St	age				
application from the International Bureau	(PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
Attachment(s)			•				
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) T Interview Si	ummary (PTO-413)					
2) Notice of Preferences Office (170-022) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)	/Mail Date					
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)		formal Patent Application					
Paper No(s)/Mail Date							

Application/Control Number: 10/511,841

Art Unit: 1634

DETAILED ACTION

Page 2

Election/Restrictions

Restriction is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 and 372.

This application contains the following inventions or groups of inventions which are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

In accordance with 37 CFR 1.499, applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single invention to which the claims must be restricted.

Group I, claims 1-13, are drawn to a process of recording information in a nucleic acid polymer.

Group II claim 14, is drawn to a device for storing information comprising metal containing nucleic acid duplex housed in the lumen of the channel formed in a membrane.

The species listed above do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, the species lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons: There is no common technical feature which joins all the claims. Group II, claim 14 is a device for storing information comprising a metal-containing nucleic acid duplex housed in the lumen of a channel formed in a membrane. Group I, claim 1 is a process, which requires modulating the translocation of first and second nucleic acid strands through a channel between a

Application/Control Number: 10/511,841

Art Unit: 1634

dissociation medium and a hybridization medium, while modulating an electrostatic potential across the channel to modulate the incorporation of a metal ion in a nucleic acid duplex as the duplex forms in the hybridization medium and the channel separating the hybridization medium and the dissociation medium is dimensioned to allow lineal translocation of the nucleic acid duplex or a metal-containing nucleic acid duplex between the hybridization medium and the dissociation medium.

Even if all of the claims recited these features, they would not be joined by a special technical feature because these features are taught by Vercoutere et al, who teaches a device for storing information that include DNA duplex housed in the lumen of the channel formed by membrane in 1M KCI (pg. 249, Fig. 1, Bottom panel, See element # A, for vestibule, that is channel; element # B, DNA hairpin at the limiting aperture, i.e., lumen and element # C translocation of DNA hairpin). DNA molecule inherently has negative charges on the surface will bind potassium ions in the solution and thus DNA molecule in 1M KCI taught by Vercoutere et al is the metal containing DNA complex of claim 14. Vercoutere et al also teaches that the device can detect different signals as DNA molecules captured on the vestibule and translocates across the lumen of a channel (See abstract and pg. 248, paragraph 3) thus teaching DNA molecule housed in the lumen is capable of storing information.

Further, regarding group I and II, a special technical feature does not join these claims because the process taught in claim 1 does not provide an advance over the prior art. For example, Vercoutere et al also teaches a process that include modulation of translocation of duplex and single stranded DNA in a vestibule, that is a channel,

Application/Control Number: 10/511,841

Art Unit: 1634

containing high salt medium conducive for hair pin formation, i.e., double strand DNA duplex formation and within the limiting aperture of the channel, generating an environment to dissociate hairpin stem of DNA duplex in to single strand DNA, which allows to traverse through the channel (pg. 248, paragraph 3, Fig. 1, Table 1) and

Thus, there is no special technical feature linking the recited groups, as would be necessary to fulfill the requirements for unity of invention.

therefore, the group I invention is also not a contribution over the prior art.

Notice of Possible Rejoinder

The examiner has required restriction between product and process claims.

Where applicant elects claims directed to the product, and the product claims are subsequently found allowable, withdrawn process claims that depend from or otherwise require all the limitations of the allowable product claim will be considered for rejoinder.

All claims directed to a nonelected process invention must require all the limitations of an allowable product claim for that process invention to be rejoined.

In the event of rejoinder, the requirement for restriction between the product claims and the rejoined process claims will be withdrawn, and the rejoined process claims will be fully examined for patentability in accordance with 37 CFR 1.104. Thus, to be allowable, the rejoined claims must meet all criteria for patentability including the requirements of 35 U.S.C. 101, 102, 103 and 112. Until all claims to the elected product are found allowable, an otherwise proper restriction requirement between product claims and process claims may be maintained. Withdrawn process claims that are not

Application/Control Number: 10/511.841

Art Unit: 1634

commensurate in scope with an allowable product claim will not be rejoined. See MPEP § 821.04(b). Additionally, in order to retain the right to rejoinder in accordance with the above policy, applicant is advised that the process claims should be amended during prosecution to require the limitations of the product claims. Failure to do so may result in a loss of the right to rejoinder. Further, note that the prohibition against double patenting rejections of 35 U.S.C. 121 does not apply where the restriction requirement is withdrawn by the examiner before the patent issues. See MPEP § 804.01.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Art Unit: 1634

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Narayan K. Bhat whose telephone number is (571)-272-5540. The examiner can normally be reached on 8.30 am to 5 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Ram R. Shukla can be reached on (571)-272-0735. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Narayan K. Bhat, Ph. D.

Examiner

Art Unit 1634

JULIET C. SWITZER